

**BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER  
FOR SAN JUAN COUNTY**

In the Appeal filed by )  
 )  
**DAVID & MARJORIE BAXTER** )  
 and )  
**RICHARD B. WILLIAMS,** )  
 Appellants, )  
 )  
 of an Administrative Determination )  
 issued by the San Juan County )  
**DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY** )  
**DEVELOPMENT,** )  
 Respondent )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )


**APPEAL-20-0003**

**ERRATA**

SJC DEPARTMENT OF  
OCT 22 2020  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

This Errata is issued for the sole purpose of correcting a typographical error appearing in the Decision recently issued for this matter. The Decision correctly explains that a “*decision of the administrator interpreting the code shall be entitled to substantial weight*” and that “[t]he party appealing a code interpretation or administrative determination or decision shall have the burden of presenting the evidence necessary to prove to the hearing examiner that the administrator’s interpretation, determination, or decision was clearly erroneous.” This language is found in SJCC 18.10.030. Unfortunately, a typographical error is repeated in several places where “18.19.030” is used instead of “18.10.030”. There is no chapter 18.19 in the County’s code. Accordingly, all references to SJCC 18.19.030 that appear in the Decision should read SJCC 18.10.030, including without limitation: on page 2, lines 9 and 12; page 10, lines 10 and 10.5; and page 11, line 2. All substantive provisions of the Decision issued in this matter remain unchanged.

ISSUED this 22<sup>nd</sup> Day of October, 2020



\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary N. McLean  
Hearing Examiner