

**LOPEZ VILLAGE PLANNING
FEEDBACK FROM 5/6/10 COMMUNITY MEETING**

D R A F T 5/13/10

QUESTIONS:

1. What do you **like** about the village today?
2. What qualities / elements would you like to see **preserved**?
3. What qualities / elements would you like to see **limited**?

GROUP A: PARKING AND STORMWATER

QUESTION 1

- recharging station – parking
- electric car modal system
- Lopez doesn't have much in the way of flowing water (streams, etc.) so the few open ditches we have in the village offer some water movement that can be appealing
- Week's wetland makes good stormwater filtration
- I support open ditches and more parking near new market
- open vegetated ditches
- keep roads narrow – allow parking on side of roads – but road travel on sides

QUESTION 2

- I would like to see as much green as possible preserved
- open vegetated ditches need to be expanded to be rain garden retention areas

QUESTION 3

- impervious or covered ditches impact on week's wetland
- concern about more impervious surfaces degrading water quality and harming week's wetland
- central parking – need perimeter parking so people walk to center
- do not continue to pipe stormwater (untreated) into wetland / shore

GROUP B: PEDESTRIAN PATHWAYS AND BICYCLES

QUESTION 1

- I like the paths through town. I can park in one place and cruise all over.
- The existing paths & sidewalks are great, surfaces
- Path and trail access to "sights" – i.e. wetland view, etc. – sidewalks, paths need them – more winding – less straight
- we need more pathways and they need to connect to each other – when they don't people tend to not use them
- vision – pathways on both sides of the streets

QUESTION 2

- Preserve the ease of travel to all parts of the village
- (more) solid walkways (eg, easier for walkers, special needs)
- (more) paths (permeable) between and across large green spaces
- path & sidewalks preserved – bike friendly places – encouraged more created
- walkways for pedestrians – need more along roads
- encourage less vehicle traffic but don't close roads
- Colin to find out legal process to close rd 6/21/10 – 9/1/10

QUESTION 3

- open ditches (not aesthetic – pot'l safety issue)
- building too close together thus limiting travel between
- limited development of the Islander is critical – put this in subarea plan

GROUP C: LAND USE / DENSITY / BUILDING STANDARDS AND LIGHTING

QUESTION 1

- peaked roofs – varied paint jobs – promise of higher density – small shops
- architectural integrity – eg style of rooflines (i.e., pitched)
- limited nite lighting
- community center (what is the focal gathering place)
- the bright vibrant colors of the buildings
- diversity in structures
- low buildings – space around buildings – low lighting
- small UGA – clustered businesses – small buildings – pedestrian friendly

QUESTION 2

- Green! Roofs!
- views to Fish Bay – higher density – more walking paths
- small commercial
- important to have sub-area plan that includes island
- keep views open to water – Impt to keep space open between condo's & Bucky's
- layout allows for open spaces, incl. a central focal/gathering place
- high density near/in village – (walking distance)
- the views to or toward Fishe Bay is GONE!
- "natural" looking materials on exteriors
- artist/work lofts?
- mixed use
- hist structures – architecturally significant bldgs (Roland Terry bldg) – Leonard Wren bldg
- residential higher density (?) mix of affordability
- density options: eg zero lot lines, low row homes
- paths – not sidewalks – more LID
- Denser residential would work in some spots – Fisherman's Bay Rd.
- graduated elevation from water
- mostly I agree with much of what is stated in the 1990 Vision concerns etc. –
- space between buildings
- height restrictions
- shared common green spaces w/ residential

QUESTION 3

- spread-out little houses
- high lighting – "dark sky ordinance"
- no cell towers or wi fi pollution
- keep roads narrow & limit parking to encourage walking
- dark sky ordinance – no pesticide herbicide usage
- high rise (> 3-4)
- Noise – no lawn mowers, construction noise at 7 am (today 5/6/10)
- franchises
- concrete & glass buildings – LIMIT
- low height limit – includes cell towers
- * building size - pavement

GROUP D: VIEW / HABITAT PROTECTION AND LANDSCAPING

QUESTION 1

- views to bay
Land Bank property
wildlife habitat
- continuity of trees
- some tree-lined streets – need more trees
- yes.
- the small “village” with landscaping preserved
- the view protection for Fish Bay from the Village is needing reclamation
- very important land between condo’s and Bucky’s
- I like that the village is low, so the views are unrestricted.
trees lining “downtown.”
wetlands
- I love the trees lining Lopez Road. – I’d like to see more the view corridor
between Blossom & Bucky’s to the south.

QUESTION 2

- tree maintenance
- Bargreen open space kept open
- trees that are street friendly
- trees as a visual key
- have water runoff meander through village
- natural / native landscaping – more rain gardens
- height limit esp. cell towers
- the street end looking west @ the Bay Café is precious. Beach access there is great.
- wetlands – shoreline
- create pathways that connect together so people can informally gather & relate to each other.
- I’d love to see more trees, shrubs, plants rather than just a big green
(like around public toilets). It would create more small spaces for picnics and such.

QUESTION 3

- central focus. Preservation of views to water – visual cues to pedestrian ways
- limit parking to minimums & keep in perimeter areas
- limit parking – less pavement – keep businesses clustered
- cell towers kill animals – no pesticides, herbicides
- building or abuse of shoreline wetlands
- concern about more impervious surface harming week’s wetland